UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,165	12/31/2003	J. Nelson Wright	341148021US	5006
69414 7590 02/02/2010 CALYPSO MEDICAL / PERKINS COIE, LLP P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE WA 08111 1247			EXAMINER	
			WEATHERBY, ELLSWORTH	
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3768	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentprocurement@perkinscoie.com skempe@perkinscoie.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,165	WRIGHT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ELLSWORTH WEATHERBY	3768
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-27 and 32-38 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-27 and 32-38 are subject to restrict	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/750,165 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

2. I. Claims 1-5, 35-36;

a. Here, the Examiner notes that Group I includes patentably distinct subject matter, namely the concept of identifying and providing further excitation at the resonant frequency which is used to either identify a marker resonant frequency (i.e. receiver tunable to resonator frequency), this particular limitation is distinct from the various embodiments claimed in Group II. Furthermore, prior art that would be applicable to reject subsequent group would not be applicable to reject that above stated patentably distinct limitation.

3. II. Claims 6-27, 32-34, and 37-38;

b. Here, the Examiner notes that Group II utilizes a ring time control processor or alternatively a window filter to identify a marker resonant frequency. As stated in the specification, at pages 16-17, the receiver that includes the ring time control processor, the receiver that window filters, the receiver that interpolates, and the receiver the identifies a resonator due to a broadband excitation are each alternative examples of several disclosed embodiments for identifying a resonant frequency. Prior art that is applicable to this group would not apply to group I because Group II does not recite the step of tuning a receiver to a resonator frequency and applying further excitations at that frequency. Thus

Application/Control Number: 10/750,165

Art Unit: 3768

prior art that is exclusively applicable to Group II includes: Paradiso et al. (USPN 6,404,340), and/or Mate et al. (Pub. No.: 2002/0193685) and/or Dimmer (Pub. No. 2003/0122653), in view of Eckstein et al. (Pub. No.: 2001/0040507), Bladen et al. (Pub. No.: 2003/016037), or Flaxl (USPN 5,491,715). Furthermore, Group II may be exclusively subject to a Double patenting rejection in view Application 10/749478.

Page 3

4. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record. Here, the Examiner stands, in view of the 10/27/2009 Arguments overcoming the Paradiso reference (See pg 10-11 of 10/27/2009 arguments). Here, applicant exclusively argues that "the tunable excitation in accordance with analysis made by the receiver 208" overcomes the Paradise reference. Thus, a search for Groups I and II would impose a burden on the examiner to because although the groups are classifiable together they have a separate status in the art.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would

not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,165 Page 5

Art Unit: 3768

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELLSWORTH WEATHERBY whose telephone number is (571) 272-2248. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,165 Page 6

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/EW/

/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768